Exceptionality and Faithfulness in Polish Stress: Comparing mono- and multistratal OT analyses

Simon Todd, Stanford University

A multistratal analysis of Polish stress placement better accounts for exceptionality, faithfulness, & their interactions with morphosyntax

Basic stress template

Primary stress on penult, non-primary stresses alternating from left (1).

(1) konstantynopolitańczyka 'person from Constantinople'

 $(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)\sigma(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)$ (Rubach and Booij 1985)

Compound components each independently follow the template (2).

(2) demokratyczn-o + republikański democratic-CPD + republican

 $(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)\sigma(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)+(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)\sigma(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)$ (Kraska-Szlenk 2003)

A single proclitic gets initial 2° stress but doesn't otherwise interfere (3).

(3) $do^2 = Amerykanin-a$ to = American-GEN.SG

 $(\dot{\sigma}=\sigma)\sigma(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)$ (Kraska-Szlenk 2003)

Enclitics and sequences of proclitics do not interfere with host stress.

Existing monostratal analysis

(Based on Kraska-Szlenk 2003, *The Phonology of Stress in Polish*, LINCOM) Nested prosodic domains correspond to morphophonological units: *PUnit*: clitic group *PWord*: root + affixes *MWord*: complete word Core constraints for (binary, trochaic) foot placement in each domain: HDFT-R(MWORD) the rightmost foot in the MWord is head (1° stress) have a foot at the right edge of the MWord \gg FOOT-R(MWORD) \gg FOOT-R(PWORD) have a foot at the right edge of the PWord \gg FOOT-L(PUNIT) have a foot at the left edge of the PUnit \gg Ident(MWord) clitic-host MWord is O-O faithful to standalone MWord \gg FOOT-R(PUNIT) have a foot at the right edge of the PUnit \gg Feet-L(PUNIT) don't leave unfooted σ s left of a foot in the PUnit

Treatments of exceptionality

Exceptions: antepenultimate 1° stress under monosyllabic inflection (4a), regular penultimate 1° stress under derivation (4b).

(4) a. gramatyk-a grammar-NOM.SG

> b. gramatycz·n·ość grammaticality

 $(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)(\dot{\sigma}\cdot\sigma)$

 $\sigma(\hat{\sigma}\sigma) - \sigma$

(Rubach and Booij 1985)

Mono: inflection and derivation both yield a PWord domain; \rightarrow cannot predict the difference.

Multi: assume that exceptions have lexically-marked head feet; \rightarrow protected under inflection by MAX(HDFT) \gg FOOT-R at Stratum 2; \rightarrow erased under derivation by *HDFT \gg OBLHDFT, MAX(HDFT) at Stratum 1.

Conclusion: the multistratal analysis gives better treatment of exceptions.

Empirical coverage of faithfulness

Mono: faithfulness only holds between cliticized and non-cliticized forms; → all non-final feet in non-cliticized forms should be left-aligned.

Multi: faithfulness also between inflected and uninflected complex forms; \rightarrow penult foot in 2 σ -inflected complex forms should be right-aligned.

(5) $\overset{2}{\text{od}} \cdot \text{parow} \cdot \overset{2}{\text{alnik}} \cdot \overset{1}{\text{ami}}$ vaporizer-INST.PL

+ lokator-ami (6) radi-o radio-CPD + locator-INST.PL

(7) probabilistyk-ami probability theory-INST.PL

 $(\dot{\sigma} \cdot \sigma) \sigma \cdot (\dot{\sigma} \sigma) - (\dot{\sigma} \sigma)$ (consultants; novel)

 $(\dot{\sigma}\sigma) + \sigma(\dot{\sigma}\sigma) - (\dot{\sigma}\sigma)$ (consultants; constructed)

> $(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)\sigma(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)-(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)$ (consultants; novel)

Conclusion: the multistratal analysis gives better coverage of faithfulness.

Extension to multiple strata

Step 1: extend domain parameterization from FOOT-R to FOOT-L and FEET-L.

Step 2: swap domains with morphological strata, O-O faithfulness with I-O.

Effects of morphosyntax

Exceptional stress in compounds: regularized in head (2nd) component (8); retained in non-head (1st) component (9). (8) a. prezydent (**σ**σ)σ $(\dot{\sigma}\sigma) + \sigma(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)$ b. pseud-o + prezydent pseudo-CPD + president (consultants; constructed) (9) a. mātemātyk-a $(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)\sigma$ + geodeta b. matematyk-o $(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)\sigma+(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)(\dot{\sigma}\sigma)$ mathematician-CPD + surveyor (consultants; constructed) **Mono:** both components are PWords \rightarrow cannot predict the difference. **Multi:** marked foot is erased in cpd head at S1; protected in non-head at S2.

Stratum 1: triggered by derivation, compound head, compound formation; Stratum 2: triggered by inflection, compound non-head; Stratum 3: triggered by cliticization. At all strata (foot-template): FTBIN \gg FOOT-R \gg FOOT-L \gg MAX(FT) \gg FEET-L. Step 3: add head-foot constraints, dominating foot-template constraints: Stratum 1: erase existing head foot *HDFT \gg OBLHDFT, MAX(HDFT) Strata 2/3: require a head foot $OBLHDFT \gg *HDFT$ Stratum 2: make rightmost foot head $HDFT-R \gg Max(HDFT)$ Stratum 3: protect existing head foot $Max(HdFt) \gg HdFt-R$

Conclusion: only the multistratal analysis allows effects of morphosyntax.

KEY: σ exceptionally-stressed syllable; BOUNDARIES: · derivation, - inflection, + compound, = clitic Questions / comments? Email <u>sitodd@stanford.edu</u>

